



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/266,813	03/12/1999	HIROKO SATOH	P63431USO	6268
7590 02/25/2004			EXAMINER	
JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN & STERN			ZHOU, SHUBO	
THE JENNIFER BUILDING			ART UNIT	
400 SEVENTH STREET N W			PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			1631	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

021204

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The communication filed on 9/25/03 is still non-responsive to the prior office action, mailed 2/28/03, because of the following reasons:

The format of the claim amendment filed 9/25/03 does not comply with the revised 37 CFR 1.121 because:

(1) the claim list does not commence on a separate sheet of the amendment document as required by paragraph (c)(1); and
(2) some of the status identifiers used in the amendment, such as "thrice amended", "amended", "previously amended", "previously canceled", "twice previously amended", are not the status identifiers that must be used according to the revised rule. See the attached relevant portion (pages 38615-38618) of Federal Register/Vol. 68, NO. 125, June 30, 2003.

Since the response appears to be bona fide, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicant is required to complete the response within a time limit of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer, or as extended as follows. AN EXTENSION OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) OR (b) UP TO A MAXIMUM OF SIX MONTHS.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shubo (Joe) Zhou, whose telephone number is 571-272-0724. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on 571-272-0722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst William Phillips whose telephone number is 571-272-0548, or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shubo (Joe) Zhou, Ph.D.
Patent Examiner

JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ATTACHMENT: FR/Vol.68, NO.125, 6/30/03, pages 38615-38618

electronic submissions, ePAVE will validate the format, display it to the filer, prompt for the filer's electronic signature, use the filer's digital certificate (an appropriate digital certificate may be obtained from the Office's Electronic Business Center) to encrypt the whole package, and transmit the submission to the Office. Upon receipt, the Office will send to the filer an electronic "Acknowledgment Receipt," including a server date stamp, a unique server number, the application number, and confirmation of the number of the files received by the Office. If the IDS submission is subsequent to the application filing, the filer will be required to enter both an application number and a confirmation number. A confirmation number is an additional four-digit identifier assigned to an application, and can be found in the upper left-hand corner of the official filing receipt. A filer will be required to have a customer number, obtained from the Office's Electronic Business Center, and an Office provided digital certificate to use EFS as with current practice.

Section 1.99

Section 1.99 has been amended to provide that the Office will not enter any explanation of the patents or publications, or any other information (that is not limited to patents or publications) included in a submission. The Office will also not enter a submission that is not in compliance with the requirements of § 1.99. The Office is capturing electronic images of all documents that form the Official file of certain applications and the original paper documents, if stored, will be stored off-site and will not be easily accessible, and if destroyed, will not be available. Therefore, any submission, or part of the submission, that is not in compliance with § 1.99 would not be captured as electronic images and, if such documents have been entered into the Official file, the Office will electronically remove the documents from the Official file.

Paragraph (d) of § 1.99 has been amended by deleting the word "dispose of" and replacing it with "not enter." Paragraph (e) of § 1.99 has been amended by deleting the phrase "returned or discarded" and replacing it with "will not be entered."

Section 1.121

The manner of making amendments to the specification, claims and drawings in non-reissue applications has been revised to permit greater navigational control during examination in an image file wrapper environment.

Paragraph (b) continues to provide the manner of making amendments to the specification and further provides that its provisions do not apply to making amendments to the claims, computer listings or sequence listings. Paragraph (b) has been revised to require a particular format of marking to show changes and also to eliminate the requirement to provide a clean copy of any revised paragraph or section.

Paragraph (b)(1) provides the manner of making an amendment to delete, add or replace a paragraph. It also explicitly provides that amendments to section headings or the title of the invention are to be made as if the section heading or title were a paragraph.

Paragraph (b)(1)(i) continues to require that an amendment include an instruction that unambiguously identifies the location, in the specification, of the paragraph to be deleted or replaced, or where a new paragraph is to be added.

Paragraph (b)(1)(ii) provides that any replacement paragraph must include markings to show all changes relative to the immediate prior version of the paragraph. Added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. If any paragraph is being marked-up to show added subject matter and at least part of the added subject matter is to be underlined itself following entry, then the subject matter intended to include underlining that is being added may be shown by double underlining that part of the added text. Deleted subject matter must be shown by striking through the deleted text with two exceptions: (1) For deletion of five characters or fewer, double brackets may be used (e.g., [[error]]); and (2) if strike-through cannot be easily perceived (e.g., deletion of the number "4" or certain punctuation marks), double brackets must be used (e.g., [[4]]). As an alternative to using double brackets, however, extra portions of text may be included before and after text being deleted, all in strike-through, followed by including and underlining the extra text with the desired change. The requirement to provide a clean version of the replacement paragraph has been eliminated. Examples of typical amendments in the revised practice will be available on the Office's Internet Web site (<http://www.uspto.gov>).

Recognizing that some word processing software will automatically generate a vertical line in the margin adjacent marked-up changes, the Office will not object to the inclusion of a vertical line in the margin of amendments to the specification or claims. As an alternative to providing an amendment to the first line of the

specification to make the reference required by § 1.78 to claim the benefit of a prior application, the Office will continue the practice under § 1.76 to accept an application data sheet that includes the required reference in § 1.78 to claim the benefit of a prior application.

Paragraph (b)(1)(iii) provides that when a paragraph is added to the specification, the text of the new paragraph must not be underlined.

Paragraph (b)(1)(iv) provides that the amendment paper must not include a marked-up version of a deleted paragraph. Where applicant has used the optional paragraph numbering system set forth in § 1.52(b)(6), an appropriate instruction to delete a paragraph would be "Please delete paragraph 0016." Applicants may also include the first and/or last few words of a paragraph to uniquely identify the paragraph to be deleted. An appropriate instruction would be "Please delete the paragraph beginning 'Potash may be obtained' on page 16."

Paragraph (b)(2)(i) continues to require that an amendment include an instruction which unambiguously identifies the section heading of the section of the specification being replaced.

Paragraph (b)(2)(ii), like its counterpart paragraph (b)(1)(ii), has been revised to require that any replacement section must include markings to show all changes relative to the immediate prior version of the section. Added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. If the replacement section being marked-up to show added subject matter and at least part of the added subject matter is to be underlined itself following entry, then the subject matter intended to include underlining that is being added may be shown by double underlining that part of the added text. Deleted subject matter must be shown by striking through the deleted text with two exceptions: (1) for deletion of five characters or fewer, double brackets may be used (e.g., [[error]]); and (2) if strike-through cannot be easily perceived (e.g., deletion of the number "4" or certain punctuation marks), double brackets must be used (e.g., [[4]]). As an alternative to using double brackets, however, extra portions of text may be included before and after text being deleted, all in strike-through, followed by including and underlining the extra text with the desired change. Recognizing that some word processing software will automatically generate a vertical line in the margin opposite marked-up changes, the Office will not object to the inclusion of a vertical line

in the margin of amendments to the specification or claims. Paragraph (b)(2)(ii) has also been revised to eliminate the requirement to provide a clean version of the replacement section. Examples of typical amendments in the revised practice will be available on the Office's Internet Web site (<http://www.uspto.gov>).

Amendments to the abstract of the disclosure should be included in that portion of applicant's amendment document which presents amendments to the specification. Where the amendments to the abstract are minor in nature, *i.e.*, purely grammatical in nature or a limited number of additions or deletions of subject matter are presented, the abstract should be provided as a marked-up version using strike-through and underlining as the methods to show all changes relative to the immediate prior version. Where the abstract is being substantially rewritten and the amended abstract bears little or no resemblance to the previously filed version of the abstract, a new (substitute) abstract may be provided in clean form accompanied by an instruction for the cancellation of the previous version of the abstract. The text of the new abstract must not be underlined. It would be counterproductive for applicant to prepare and provide an abstract so riddled with strike-through and underlining that its meaning and language are obscured from view and comprehension. Whether supplying a marked-up version of a previous abstract or a clean form new abstract, the abstract must still comply with § 1.72(b) requirements regarding length and placement of the abstract on a separate sheet of paper.

Paragraph (b)(3) has been rewritten to reflect a concurrent revision to § 1.125. Although the requirement for a clean form, or version without markings, of a replacement paragraph or section of the specification has been eliminated, the Office maintains the need for a clean form and a marked-up version of a substitute specification. Since substitute specifications generally include many changes, the clean version will assist the examiner to view the substitute specification without distractions from the markings. The marked-up version will assist the examiner in readily ascertaining those changes being made and to alert the examiner to the presence of any new matter. Amendment by substitute specification is permissible where, as set forth in § 1.121(b)(3)(i), an instruction to replace the specification is submitted and, as set forth in § 1.121(b)(3)(ii), the substitute specification is provided in compliance

with §§ 1.125(b) and (c). Section 1.125(b) continues to require that a substitute specification be accompanied by a statement that it includes no new matter. Section 1.125(c) now requires that two versions of the substitute specification be provided. One version must be submitted with markings showing all changes (strike-through, or in some cases double brackets, indicating subject matter being deleted and underlining indicating subject matter being added) relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record. An accompanying clean version (without markings) must also be supplied.

Strike-through and underlining features are available in most, if not all, commercially available word processing systems. Often times these features are located and activated through a "format" menu, which allows the user to create text, which is struck through or underlined, or highlight text already presented. In the latter case, previously presented text may be selected and strike-through or underlining engaged such that the text is marked in the desired fashion. Additionally, some word processing systems offer editorial tools that permit a user to automatically "track changes" made when editing a document. These editorial tools frequently use strike-through as the manner in which to indicate deleted subject matter and underlining as the manner in which to indicate added subject matter. To clearly identify a line or a section of a document in which changes have been made, these editorial tools may place a vertical line in the left or right margin of the document directly adjacent to the line or section of text in which the changes occur. The presence of such vertical lines in the left or right margin of a replacement paragraph or section of the specification or a marked-up version of a substitute specification is acceptable.

Deleted subject matter may also be indicated in some circumstances by double brackets placed at the beginning and the end of the deleted text. Double brackets must be used if the deleted text would not be easily perceived using strike-through. For example, changing "4 corners" to "three corners" should be indicated by "three [[4]] corners" rather than "three4 corners" (the strike-through being obscured by the horizontal line in the "4"). Double brackets may also be used to indicate the deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. Alternatively, the use of strike-through and/or underlining to show the deletion and/or addition of punctuation marks or difficult to perceive characters may, in the

replacement paragraph or rewritten claim, encompass portions of text before and after the punctuation marks or characters. Examples of typical amendments in the revised format will be available on the Office's Internet Web site (<http://www.uspto.gov>).

The prior requirement made in §§ 1.121(b)(1)(ii) and (b)(2)(ii) to provide a clean form, or version without markings, of a replacement paragraph or section to the specification has been eliminated.

Paragraph (b)(4) remains unchanged.

New § 1.121(b)(5) has been added to provide that once a paragraph, section, or specification is amended in a first amendment document, re-presentation of the amended material in subsequent amendment documents is not necessary unless it is amended again or a substitute specification is provided.

Paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(3) have been replaced with revised §§ 1.121(c) and (c)(1) through (c)(5). Paragraph (c) as revised provides for a total rewriting of a claim each time the claim is amended, including markings to show the changes being made relative to the immediate prior version of the claim, except when the claim is being canceled. Section 1.121(c) also requires submitting a complete listing of all claims ever presented, including the text of all pending and withdrawn claims, and the status of each and every claim in every amendment document that includes an amendment to the claims. This listing, if entered, replaces all prior versions of the claims in the application. Inclusion of the claim status is required for each claim in each claim listing and must be indicated in a parenthetical expression following the claim number. The status of all claims in the application, even those previously canceled or withdrawn, must be indicated in the claim listing.

The status of each claim included in the claim listing must be described by one of the following seven defined identifiers in parentheses after the claim number:

- (Original): Claim filed with the application
- (Currently amended): Claim being amended in the current amendment document
- (Canceled): Claim deleted from the application.
- (Withdrawn): Claim still in the application, but in a non-elected status.
- (Previously presented): Claim added or amended in an earlier amendment document.
- (New): Claim being added in the current amendment document.

(Not entered): Claim presented in a previous amendment document but which has either not been entered or the status of entry is unknown to applicant when a subsequent amendment to the claims is filed.

The status identifier "previously presented" must be used in those circumstances where: (1) A claim has been previously presented as "new" in a prior amendment document and entry of the claim is certain; and (2) a claim has been previously presented as "currently amended" in a prior amendment document. "Previously presented" should not be used for a claim which was previously presented in a prior amendment document, most particularly an after final amendment, and entry of the claim was denied or applicant is uncertain at the present time whether or not the claim has been approved for entry. The status of "not entered" should be used for such claims. It is foreseen that the latter described scenario could occur in those situations where a first after final amendment has been filed and applicant desires to file a second after final amendment prior to receiving a notice of allowance or an advisory action notifying the applicant whether or not claims presented or amended in the first after final amendment have been entered. Thus, the status of "not entered" should be used for claims which have not been approved for entry, or if it is not known if they have been approved for entry.

Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) amendment procedures (*i.e.*, PCT Articles 19 and 34(2)(b)) are applicable during the international stage of an international application, and thus status identifiers are not to be used during the international stage. During the national stage (pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 371), amendment rules under § 1.121 apply to preliminary and subsequent amendments. When submitting these amendments in national stage, a claim listing is required with status identifiers indicated in a parenthetical expression following the claim number. The status identifier may indicate that claims were "original," "previously presented," or "canceled" based on changes made during the international stage. More specifically, in an amendment under § 1.121 in national stage, the status identifier "original" must be used for claims that had been presented on or by the international filing date and not modified or canceled. The status identifier "previously presented" must be used in any amendment submitted during national stage for all claims added or modified under PCT Articles

19 or 34 in the international stage that were subsequently entered in the national stage. Similarly, the status identifier "canceled" must be used in any amendment submitted during national stage for any claims canceled under an Article 19 or 34 amendment in the international stage that was subsequently entered in the national stage. If the amendment under § 1.121 in the national stage is making a change in a claim, the status identifier "currently amended" must be used for that claim.

The Office will continue the current practice of entering all previously filed unentered after final amendments and amendments filed with a request for continued examination (RCE) under § 1.114, unless applicant instructs otherwise. Absent specific instructions for entry, these amendments will be entered in the order in which they were filed. See MPEP § 706.07(h) (page 700-85). The claim listing in the most recent amendment will be used as the current claim listing. When applicant submits an amendment after final or an amendment with an RCE, the markings in the text of the claims should be made relative to the claims of the last entered amendment (or the original claims if no amendments have been entered). When filing an RCE, applicants are encouraged to present a comprehensive amendment that includes all of the desired changes previously presented in the unentered after final amendments along with specific instructions not to enter the after final amendments.

When claims are added, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claim previously presented, whether entered or not. See § 1.126. Therefore, the claim listing in any after final amendment, or amendment filed with an RCE, should include the claim number(s) for any unentered new claims submitted in a previously filed after final amendment, the status identifier should be indicated as (not entered) and the text of such claims must not be presented. Consecutive unentered claims may be aggregated into one line (*e.g.*, claims 20-25 (not entered)) in the claim listing. If applicant wishes to represent the previously submitted but unentered new claims, the claim listing must include the new claims with claim numbers beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claim previously presented. The new claims must include the status identifier "new" and the text of the new claims.

The Office will also continue the current practice of entering unentered after final amendments that the examiner has indicated would be entered upon the filing of an appeal.

Absent specific instructions for entry, these amendments will be entered in the order in which they were filed. The claim listing in the most recent amendment will be used as the current claim listing.

Combining the status identifiers (withdrawn) and (currently amended) into a single status identification, *e.g.*, (withdrawn—currently amended), may be used in those situations where a claim has been previously withdrawn as non-elected but applicant wishes to amend the claim in an effort to rejoin the withdrawn (non-elected) claim with the elected invention. In all other situations only a single status identifier may be used.

As a result of the changes to § 1.121, each amendment document will be self-contained, as it sets forth a complete listing of all claims, including the text of all pending claims, for examination and provides the status of all of the claims in one location in the file.

Paragraph (c)(1) provides for the presentation of the claims in ascending numerical order. This prevents the grouping of claims by status (all "new" claims together, all "currently amended" claims together, etc.), and ensures a complete listing of all claims in numerical order, regardless of status. Consecutive "canceled" or "not entered" claims, however, may be aggregated (*e.g.*, "Claims 1-5 (canceled)"). Further, paragraph (c)(1) requires that any sheet of an amendment paper including part of the text of a claim shall not include material directed to any other part of the amendment or any remarks concerning the claims. In other words, the complete listing of all of the claims must commence on a separate sheet of the amendment document. This requirement facilitates indexing of the application papers.

Paragraph (c)(2) requires that markings be provided in claims to show the addition or deletion of subject matter. Added subject matter must be shown by underlining. If any claim is being marked-up to show added subject matter and at least part of the added subject matter is to be underlined itself following entry, then the subject matter intended to include underlining that is being added may be shown by double underlining that part of the added text. Deleted subject matter must be shown by striking through the deleted text with two exceptions: (1) For deletion of five characters or fewer, double brackets may be used (*e.g.*, [[error]]); and (2) if strike-through cannot be easily perceived (*e.g.*, deletion of the number "4" or certain punctuation marks), double brackets must be used (*e.g.*,

[[4]]). As an alternative to using double brackets, however, extra portions of text may be included before and after text being deleted, all in strike-through, followed by including and underlining the extra text with the desired change. This section eliminates the previously accepted use of equivalent marking systems. No other methods of markings or comparison (other than underlining, strike-through or double brackets) are permitted. Only claims of the status "currently amended," or "withdrawn" if also being amended, shall include markings showing changes made. Examples of typical amendments in the revised format will be available on the Office's Internet Web site (<http://www.uspto.gov>).

Paragraph (c)(3) requires that the current amendment document also include the submission of a clean version, *i.e.*, without any markings in the presentation of text, of all pending and withdrawn claims not being amended, but being included as part of the claim listing in the current amendment document. The presentation of a clean version in any "original," "previously presented," or "withdrawn" claim constitutes an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version except to omit markings that may have been present in the immediate prior version of the claims of the (current) status of withdrawn or previously presented. This relieves the Office of the burden of cross-reading various versions of the same claim to ensure accuracy of rewritten claims. Any claims added by amendment must be indicated with the status of "new," presented in clean version, and shall not be underlined.

Paragraphs (c)(4)(i) and (ii) set forth when claim text must not be presented and that cancellation of any claim is effected by mere instructions to cancel. Alternatively, identifying the status of a claim in the complete listing of all claims as "canceled" will constitute an instruction to cancel the claim. The text of "canceled" and "not entered" claims must not be presented; and these claims must be indicated as being in the status of "canceled" or "not entered," respectively.

Paragraph (c)(5) requires that a claim canceled in its entirety can only be reinstated if presented as a new claim with a new claim number.

Paragraph (d) requires that any drawing changes be submitted in compliance with § 1.84 on replacement sheets as an attachment to an amendment document. An accompanying detailed explanation of all of the changes should be provided on a separate sheet in the drawing

amendments or remarks section of the amendment document. A marked-up copy of one or more of the figures being amended, with annotations, may also be included to provide further explanation of the changes made. The marked-up version must be labeled as "Annotated marked-up Drawings." Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per § 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission of the amended drawing figure(s) by applicant would be required, unless applicant is so notified.

In order to bring § 1.121(g) into conformity with earlier changes, "(c)(1)" is changed to "(c) and (c)(1) through (c)(5)."

A new § 1.121(h) has been inserted to require that each section of an amendment (*e.g.*, amendments to the claims, amendments to the specification, replacement drawings, remarks) begin on a separate sheet of the amendment paper.

Previous §§ 1.121(h), (i), and (j) have been redesignated as §§ 1.121(i), (j), and (k), respectively.

Section 1.125

Paragraph (b) has been revised to add a cross-reference to § 1.312 to remind applicants that for submissions of substitute specifications filed after the notice of allowance has been mailed and up to the time of payment of the issue fee, entry of the substitute specification is not a matter of right.

Paragraph (c) of § 1.125 has been revised to require the presentation by applicant of both a marked-up version of the specification (using strike-through or in some circumstances double brackets to indicate deleted subject matter and underlining to indicate added subject matter) and a clean

version without markings. Deleted subject matter must be shown by striking through the deleted text or, in some cases, placing double brackets at the beginning and the end of the deleted text. Double brackets must be used if the deleted text would not be easily perceived using strike-through, such as, the deletion of a punctuation mark or a number. Double brackets may also be used to indicate the deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. Examples of typical amendments in the revised format will be available on the Office's Internet Web site (<http://www.uspto.gov>).

Section 1.173

Current § 1.173(b)(3) is being replaced by §§ 1.173(b)(3), (3)(i) and (3)(ii) as a conforming amendment, in order to provide consistency between utility and reissue drawing practice.

Section 1.823

Section 1.823 has been amended by revising § 1.823(a)(1) to require that any sheet including a part of a sequence listing not include material other than part of a sequence listing. This change is to facilitate indexing of the specification.

Response to Comments

The Office published a notice proposing changes to the rules of practice to implement electronic maintenance of official patent application records. *See Changes to Implement Electronic Maintenance of Official Patent Application Records*, 68 FR 14365 (Mar. 24, 2003), 1269 *Off. Gaz. Pat. Office* 166 (Apr. 22, 2003) (proposed rule). The Office received twenty written comments (from Intellectual Property Organizations, Law Firms, and Patent Practitioners) in response to this notice. Comments generally in support of a change are not discussed. The comments and the Office's responses to those comments follow:

Comment 1: A number of comments questioned if the Office, after making the proposed change in § 1.3, would continue its practice of providing notice to an applicant, patent owner or third party requester when a paper submitted by that party constituted a failure of proper decorum and courtesy.

Response: The Office will continue to provide written notice that a paper submitted by a party to the Office constitutes a failure of proper decorum and courtesy. Following the mailing of the written notice, the paper will no longer be retained in the public electronic image file wrapper. The paper version will eventually be destroyed